

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/658,911                  | 09/10/2003  | Fred H. Burbank      | R0367.01601             | 4075             |
| 7590 05/18/2005             |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| Edward J. Lynch             |             |                      | PELHAM, JOSEPH MOORE    |                  |
| DUANE MORRIS LLP One Market |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Spear Tower, Ste. 2000      |             |                      | 3742                    |                  |
| San Francisco, CA 94105     |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | $\boldsymbol{\omega}$   |
|---|--|---|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
| Office Astion Comments  | 10/658,911   | BURBANK ET AL.  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|   | Joseph M Pelham  | 3742  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cause the application to become ABANDONE | ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |  |   |
| <ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u>.</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>  | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro   |   |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 42-73 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 42-73 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>   | vn from consideration.   |   |
| Application Papers  |  |   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | epted or b) objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage   |
| Attachment(s)   | <b>∞</b> □•••••  | (070.440)   |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/27/05.     </li> </ol>   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   |   |

Art Unit: 3742

The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's submission of the amendment and Terminal Disclaimer filed 3/3/05. Claims 42-73 are pending. The Examiner notes further that a review of Applicant's earlier patents indicates that a second double patenting rejection should have been made in the previous Office action, which rejection is made hereinbelow. Any inconvenience is sincerely regretted.

The terminal disclaimer filed on 3/3/05 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Pat. No. 6,725,083 has been reviewed and is *NOT* accepted.

It is directed to a particular claim or claims, which is not acceptable, since "the disclaimer must be of a terminal portion of the term of the <u>entire</u> [patent or] patent to be granted." See MPEP § 1490.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 42 and 43 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,347,241. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims appear only to broaden the scope of the patented claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph M Pelham whose telephone number is 571-272-4786. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5/10/05

JOSEPH PELHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER